Bicycle Network Mandatory Helmet Review

Opinion of Ceri Woolsgrove, Policy Officer, European Cyclists’ Federation

1. Do you believe it should be mandatory to wear a helmet when riding a bicycle? (If you believe it should be mandatory at some times but not others please describe when.)

No

2. What’s your reasons for your answer to question one?

Cycling is on average as dangerous/risky per distance or time travelled as walking¹, and in some countries being a driver. In the UK, not a great cycling country by any means, there is approximately one cyclist death per 33 million km of cycling, it would take the average cyclist 21,000 years to cycle this distance, banning cycling unless appropriate clothing is worn is too high regulation given the risk.

However unlike other modes of transport, cycling is an active mode of transport, this means that there are not only risks like crashes involved, there are also benefits, particularly health benefits. This means that there are huge health benefits to be had from promoting and improving cycling². There is evidence that mandatory helmet laws act as a barrier to the growth of

---

¹ Dept. of Transport, Reported Road Casualties Great Britain: 2013. Page 178
² http://www.bmj.com/content/357/bmj.j1456
de Hartog JJ, Boogaard H, Nijland H, Hoek G, 2010. Do the health benefits of cycling outweigh the risks?. Environmental Health Perspectives doi: 10.1289/ehp.0901747
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cycling\(^3\), therefore all the huge possible health benefits are lost if mandatory helmet legislation deters cycling. It has been estimated that if a road safety intervention like helmets caused only a very tiny reduction in the number of cyclists it would always bring about a public health dis-benefit even if that road safety measure were 100% successful against all fatalities\(^4\). Of course this does not also account for the benefits brought about by the reduction in congestion, air pollution and contribution to livable cities. It has been estimated that an overall net cost to public health in the UK of a helmet law would be about £500m a year\(^5\).

A major issue that is brought up is the effect of legislation on cycling numbers (much of the following information can be found here [http://www.cyclehelmets.org](http://www.cyclehelmets.org))

- **In Australian Capital Territory** automatic counters on bicycle paths registered declines from 1991 (pre-law) to a similar period in 1992 (post-law) of about one third on weekdays and about half at weekends\(^6\).

- **In New South Wales** in the first two years of the child law, the number of children cycling declined by 36% and 44% respectively compared with the year before the law. The largest reduction in cycling was among secondary female students in Sydney: 214 in 1991 down to 20 in 1993, a drop of 90.6%\(^7\). One estimate\(^8\) claims that repealing the law could be expected at least to double cycle use in Sydney.

- **In Queensland** A survey, mainly of schoolchildren, showed a decline in cycling of 22% from 1990 to 1991, before the law was enforced\(^9\).

---


\(^8\) Rissel C, Wen LM, 2011. The possible effect on frequency of cycling if mandatory bicycle helmet legislation was repealed in Sydney, Australia: a cross sectional survey. Health Promotion Journal of Australia 2011; 22: 178-83
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• In South Australia a 1994 study of school children showed a 38% decline in cycling from September 1988 to March 1994\textsuperscript{10}

• In Victoria - Bicycle use by children aged 5-17 decreased by 36% from May/June 1990 to May/June 1991\textsuperscript{11}. There were further falls to May/June 1992 in Melbourne, with teenage cycling showing by then a 46% decrease from pre-law levels\textsuperscript{12}. 3.4% of trips in Melbourne were by bicycle in 1985-6. In 2004 this had decreased to 2.0%\textsuperscript{13}

• In Western Australia - Automatic counters installed on two key cyclist bridges over the Swan river in Perth recorded an average of 16,326 cycle movements weekly for the three months October to December 1991 (pre law). The same months in the post law years 1992-94 recorded 13067, 12470 and 10701 cyclist movements per week. Thus, on those bridges (which may not have been typical of cycling throughout WA), decline over the first 3 years, were: 20%, 24% and 35%. Over the following 3 years cycling was 30%, 14% and 12% below pre-law levels\textsuperscript{14}

There has also been similar falls in cycling numbers in other countries that have helmet legislation, much if this information can be found here http://www.cyclehelmets.org/1096.html

There is, I think, reason enough above to be doubting the wisdom of mandatory helmet law, due to the reduction of cycling numbers the effects on health, etc.. There are also significant doubts concerning the efficacy of helmet law for road safety also. In Australia after the introduction of helmet legislation arm and torso injuries as a proportion of head injuries remained constant after introduction of legislation, i.e. no drop of head injuries through increased helmet use\textsuperscript{15}. Pedestrian and cycling head injury rates were commensurate i.e. no drop of head injuries through increased helmet use. The fall in head injuries for cyclists, and indeed all road users, would seem to be explained by better road conditions and more effective road safety, measures brought to Australian roads (such as random driver alcohol


\textsuperscript{13} Australia bicycle ownership and use. Australian Bicycle Council, 2004


\textsuperscript{15} Robinson D. No clear evidence from countries that have enforced the wearing of helmets, BMJ 2006;332:722.2

http://www.bmj.com/content/332/7543/722.2
breath-testing for example). This is also consistent with other countries with similar laws\textsuperscript{16}. There does not seem to be any clear evidence for the beneficial road safety public health benefit of helmet laws\textsuperscript{17}.

There are also issues concerning the reaction of drivers to cyclists wearing helmets, a study found that car drivers passed closer to those wearing helmets than those who did not.\textsuperscript{18} Risk compensatory behavior amongst cyclists themselves\textsuperscript{19} could also be an issue. Another problem that may have to be taken into account is the effect on Safety in Numbers with a reduction of cyclists on the roads. Reducing or increasing the numbers of cyclists has an inverse relationship to the safety of each individual cyclist\textsuperscript{20}.

The bicycle helmet is not a major road safety tool let alone something in need of legislation. Good infrastructure, good vehicles, and sensible trained drivers and riders should be the focus of safer cycling. Unfortunately often doing these things are hard while passing a helmet law is easy. The safest countries to cycle in the world also have the highest numbers of cyclists, this is no coincidence, cycling road safety and promotion requires commitment and sensible measures rather than knee-jerk unsustainable easy to implement measures. I am glad that Australia is beginning to question the assumption that a helmet is a major regulatory road safety tool. Cyclists should be able to choose what they wear.

3. **Do you provide consent for your opinion to be made public?**
   - Yes

4. **If no, are you happy if we say you provided an opinion but didn’t want it made publicly available?**
   - Yes  No

\textsuperscript{16} http://injuryprevention.bmj.com/content/16/Suppl_1/A228.3
\textsuperscript{17} http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457500000737
\textsuperscript{18} https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1410838/
\textsuperscript{19} http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457506001540
\textsuperscript{20} https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1731007/
http://www.cyclinguk.org/campaign/safety-in-numbers
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Date: 22/09/2017

Please send completed form to craig@bicyclenetwork.com.au before 5pm, Friday 13 October, 2017.